Training needs assessment in Merrygold Hospitals (L1) & Merrysilver Clinics (L2) of Merrygold Health Network

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements For the award of

Post-Graduate Diploma in Health and Hospital Management

By

Pragati Bhargava



International Institute of Health Management Research

New-Delhi-110075

May, 2012

Training needs assessment in Merrygold Hospitals (L1) & Merrysilver Clinics (L2) of Merrygold Health Network

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements For the award of

Post-Graduate Diploma in Health and Hospital Management

By

Pragati Bhargava

Under guidance of

Mr. Birendra Kumar Team Leader UPSF, HLFPPT Dr. Preetha G.S Assistant Professor IIHMR, DELHI



International Institute of Health Management Research

New-Delhi-110075 May, 2012



Date: - 30/04/2012

Certificate of Dissertation Completion

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

This is to certify that Ms.Pragati Bhargava has successfully completed her dissertation in our organization from Feburary 18, 2012 to April 30, 2012. During this tenure he has worked on "Training needs assessment in Merrygold Hospitals (L1) and Merrysilver Clinics (L2) of Merrygold Health Network" under the guidance of me and my team under the project called Uttar Pradesh Social Franchising at Hindustan Latex Family Planning Promotion Trust.

Pragati Bhargava has done a good work and has produced a quality report during this tenure.

We wish him/her good luck for his/her future assignments

Mr. Manish Saxena

Operation Manager

UPSF, HLFPPT

Hindustan Latex Family Planning Promotion Trust (Trust Promoted by HLL Lifecare Ltd.)

9/316 Vikas Nagar, Lucknow - 226 022. Tel. : 91 522 4150000 / 2330945 / 2320592 / 2323083 Fax : 91 522 2330945 website : www.hlfppt.org

Head Office:

Hindustan Latex Family Planning Promotion Trust

B-TA, lind Floor, Sec.-62, Gautam Budh Nagar, Noida-01 (Uttar Pradesh) INDIA Tel.: 91 120 4673673 Fax: 91 120 4231065 E-mail: cpsu@hlfppt.com Website: www.hlfppt.org

Certificate from Dissertation Advisory Committee

This is to certify that Ms.Pragati Bhargava, a participant of the Post-Graduate Diploma in Health and Hospital Management, has worked under our guidance and supervision. She is submitting this dissertation titled "Training needs assessment in Merrygold Hospitals (L1) and Merrysilver Clinics (L2) of Merrygold Health Network " in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the Post-Graduate Diploma in Health and Hospital Management.

This dissertation has the requisite standard and to the best of our knowledge no part of it has been reproduced from any other dissertation, monograph, report or book.

Dr.Preetha G.S Assistant Professor

IIHMR

New Delhi

Date-

Mr. Manish Saxena Operation Manager

UPSF, HLFPPT

Lucknow

Date 30/04/2012

Certificate of Approval

The following dissertation titled "Training needs assessment in Merrygold Hospitals (L1) and Merrysilver Clinics (L2) of Merrygold Health Network" is hereby approved as a certified study in management carried out and presented in a manner satisfactory to warrant its acceptance as a prerequisite for the award of Post- Graduate Diploma in Health and Hospital Management for which it has been submitted. It is understood that by this approval the undersigned do not necessarily endorse or approve any statement made, opinion expressed or conclusion drawn therein but approve the dissertation only for the purpose it is submitted.

Dissertation Examination Committee for evaluation of dissertation

| Signature |
|-----------|
| andogra |
| Dz |
| |

Abstract

Training needs Assessment in Merrygold Hospitals (L1) & Merrysilver Clinics (L2) of Merrygold Health Network

By

Pragati Bhargava

Public sector health programs in India especially in UP have faced well recognized problems, such as in adequate access by most vulnerable groups, poor quality and coverage of primary and secondary facilities, and- until recently- excessive focus on sterilization and inadequate focus on maternal and child health. The private sector has moved to fill in this gap, but the social cost of privatized medical care is high. There is growing evidence to suggest that the private sector provides an increasing share of primary health care and that large segment of the poor use the private sector. Therefore for strengthening and organizing private sector for better public health outcomes it was required to engage private sector nursing Homes in providing RCH services in rural, semi urban areas and urban slums and also assure quality of services and price levels in private sector through a well developed franchising program. The Merrygold health network aims at providing Quality care at affordable prices. As quality is an essential component of the network services therefore a regular monitoring is required to ensure the quality being practiced in the network franchisees. The training provided to the health care providers after the validation covers almost all the essential protocols to be followed in a hospital and moreover the orientation of the clients is also done in addition to the training component. Thus the franchisee is introduced to a self assessment quality tool to help hospitals identify and improve leadership structures and processes that are associated with high performance in clinical quality measures.

This study aims to find out the issues regarding Quality in Merrygold hospitals and Merrysilver clinics that need to be focused more during the capacity building exercises so that the main aim of the project is fulfilled i.e. to provide quality care at affordable prices.

Methodology Used-

- 1. The study is based on quantitative study design aiming to get in-depth understanding of the quality of services and training needs assessment of the franchised hospitals and clinics of Merrygold health network in 35 districts under Uttar Pradesh Social Franchising.
- **2.** A closed ended questionnaire was developed by experts in the organization and the facilities were visited during March 2012 to April 2012 for the quality assessment.
- **3.** Respondents were the doctors or the owners of the hospitals.
- **4.** The training was conducted with the doctors and nursing staff to probe and upgrade the knowledge related to quality standards and infection prevention practices in the hospital.
- **5.** A set of the scorecard was left with the franchisee to look for the areas where improvement was required.

Conclusion-

The above analysis leads to the inference that basically 5 segments of Quality parameter are suffering despite of training and orientation of the franchisees to such Quality issues. These segments are:

- 1. Infection Prevention Practices
- 2. Safe waste disposable practices
- 3. New Born Care
- 4. Quality of Clinical Services
- 5. Adequate labor room availability.

Majority of the L1 and L2 health facilities are lacking on a major quality parameter called infection prevention practices and safe waste disposal practices. The study reveals the need of refresher trainings on these issues during the training of new staff and QA visits, which can help

in improving the quality of services being delivered at the Merrygold Hospitals. Continuous monitoring and supervision needs to be done on these hospitals and staff so that quality of services can be improved.

Acknowledgement

The project has been a novel learning experience and the endless hours of sleepless nights finally culminated into the completion of this onerous task. However, all of this would never have been possible without the priceless support of several people. I wish to take this opportunity to express my deepest gratitude to my Dean Dr.Rajesh Bhalla, IIHMR, New-Delhi and my mentor Dr. Preetha G.S, Associate Professsor, IIHMR, New-Delhi.

I wish to express my gratitude to my guide Mr. Birendra Kumar, Team Leader, UPSF and Mr.Manish Saxena (Operation Manager) Hindustan Latex Family Planning Promotion Trust, whose guidance steered the boat of this project from the tempest of confusion, ignorance and complicated disorganization to the bank of coherent completion. His words of enlightenment and her belief in simple logic guided me on all occasions. It was a golden chance to work in an organization which was more of a family. Working in the project provided more opportunities to know the ground reality of building up a dream.

I express my heartily gratitude to Ms. Vasanthi Krishnan, CEO, HLFPPT, Ms. Shubra Phillips, Head, TSD division, ,Mr. Sharad Agarwal, State Director, HLFPPT and my Mentor Mr.Manish saxena,Operation Manager, HLFPPT without whose interest, encouragement, supervision and words of wisdom, this dissertation could not have been accomplished. I would also like to thank all the employees of HLFPPT as they have been very friendly to me and helped in each and every step of my dissertation. Last but not least I am also thankful to my mother,for her kind blessings and support in all possible ways for completion of this project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | TOPIC | PAGE NO. | | | | |
|-----|--|----------|--|--|--|--|
| I | List of Tables | 11 | | | | |
| Ii | List of Figures | | | | | |
| Iii | List of Appendices | | | | | |
| Iv | List of Abbreviations | 14 | | | | |
| 1. | PART A: "Internship Report" | 15 | | | | |
| | 1.1 Introduction | 16 | | | | |
| | 1.2 Organizational profile | 16 | | | | |
| | 1.3 Merrygold Health Network | 23 | | | | |
| | 1.4 Training and capacity building | 26 | | | | |
| | 1.5 Role in the Organization | 27 | | | | |
| | 1.6 Reflective Learning | | | | | |
| | 1.7 List of Quality Assessments at various Franchisees | | | | | |
| | 1.8 List of Medical audits at various Franchisees | 29 | | | | |
| 2. | PART B: "Dissertation Report" | 35 | | | | |
| | 2.1 Introduction | 36 | | | | |
| | 2.2 Review of Literature | 37 | | | | |
| | 2.3 General Objective and specific objectives of the Study | 42 | | | | |
| | 2.4 Methods for data collection | 42 | | | | |
| | 2.5 Limitations of the study | 42 | | | | |
| | 2.6 Findings of the study | 44 | | | | |
| | 2.6.1 Merrygold Hospitals | 44 | | | | |

| 2.6 | 2.6.2 Merrysilver Clinics | | | | | |
|-----|--|------------------------------------|----------|--|--|--|
| 2.7 | 2.7 Summary | | | | | |
| 2.8 | 2.8 Conclusion | | | | | |
| 2.9 | Sugg | gestions | 64 | | | |
| 2.1 | 2.10 References | | | | | |
| 2.1 | 1 App | 70 | | | | |
| | I- | Training agenda of L1 | 70 | | | |
| | II- | Training agenda of L2 | 73 | | | |
| | III- | Quality Assessment checklist of L1 | 74 81 | | | |
| | IV- Quality Assessment checklist of L2 | | | | | |

| <u>List of Tables</u> | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|-----|--|--|
| Topic | | | | |
| | | No. | | |
| Table 1 | List of Merrygold Hospitals where training and QA orientation was done | 27 | | |
| Table 2 | List of Franchisees where QA was done | 28 | | |
| Table 3 | List of Merrygold (L1) For Medical Audit - MGHN (Phase - II) | 28 | | |
| Table 4 | List of Merrysilver Clinics (L2) For Medical Audit - MGHN (Phase - II) | 29 | | |
| Table 5 | Percentage score of 9 components of QA score in 17 Facilities | 42 | | |
| Table 6 | Percentage scores of QA done at Kanpur L2 Facilities | 45 | | |
| Table 7 | Percentage scores of QA done at Allahabad L2 Facilities | 46 | | |
| Table 8 | Percentage scores of QA done at Agra L2 Facilities | 48 | | |
| Table 9 | Percentage scores of QA done at Badaun L2 Facilities | 50 | | |
| Table 10 | Percentage scores of QA done at Barabanki L2 Facilities | 51 | | |
| Table 11 | Percentage scores of QA done at Gorakhpur L2 Facilities | 52 | | |
| Table 12 | Percentage scores of QA done at MathuraL2 Facilities | 53 | | |
| Table 13 | Percentage scores of QA done at Shahjahanpur L2 Facilities | 54 | | |
| Table 14 | Percentage scores of QA done at Moradabad L2 Facilities | 56 | | |
| Table 15 | Percentage scores of QA done at Luknow L2 Facilities | 57 | | |
| Table 16 | Percentage scores of QA done at Mau L2 Facilities | 58 | | |

| | <u>List of Figures</u> | | | | |
|--|---|----------|--|--|--|
| | Topic | Page No. | | | |
| Figure 1 | 3- Tier Business Model | 22 | | | |
| Figure 2 | Hub and Spoke model | 23 | | | |
| Figure 3 | Process of Franchisee expansion | 24 | | | |
| Figure 4 | Quality Assurance Cycle | 37 | | | |
| Figure 5 | Overall performance of Merrygold L1 Hospitals | 43 | | | |
| Figure 6 | re 6 Overall performance of L2, Kanpur | | | | |
| Figure 7 | 47 | | | | |
| Figure 8 | Overall performance of L2, Agra | 49 | | | |
| Figure 9 Overall performance of L2, Badaun | | 50 | | | |
| Figure 10 Overall performance of L2, Barabanki | | 51 | | | |
| Figure 11 | Overall performance of L2, Gorakhpur | 52 | | | |
| Figure 12 | Overall performance of L2, Mathura | 54 | | | |
| Figure 13 | | | | | |
| Figure 14 | | | | | |
| Figure 15 | Overall performance of L2, Lucknow | 58 | | | |
| Figure 16 | Overall performance of L2, Mau 59 | | | | |

List of Appendices

- I- Training agenda of L1
- II- Training agenda of L2
- III- Quality Assessment checklist of L1
- IV- Quality Assessment checklist of L2

Acronyms/ Abbreviations

| AIDS | Acquired immune deficiency Syndrome | | | |
|------|--|--|--|--|
| ANM | Auxiliary Nurse Midwifery | | | |
| BPL | Below Poverty Line | | | |
| СВНІ | Community Based Health Insurance | | | |
| СНС | Community Health Centre | | | |
| DALY | Disability Adjusted Life Year | | | |
| GOI | Government of India | | | |
| HIV | Human Immunodeficiency Virus | | | |
| HMIS | Hospital Management Information System | | | |
| IMR | Infant Mortality Rate | | | |
| MDG | Millennium Development Goals | | | |
| MMR | Maternal Mortality Rate | | | |
| NFHS | National Family and Health Survey | | | |
| NRHM | National Rural Health Mission | | | |
| NFP | Not For Profit | | | |
| NGO | Non-government Organization | | | |
| NIC | National Insurance Corporation | | | |
| РНС | Primary Health Centre | | | |
| PHU | Primary Health Unit | | | |
| PSD | Private Sector Development | | | |
| PSU | Project Support Unit | | | |

| QA | Quality assessment | |
|--------|--|--|
| RCH | Reproduction & Child Heath | |
| SC/ST | Scheduled caste/scheduled tribe | |
| SIFPSA | State Innovations in Family Planning Project Services Agency | |

INTERNSHIP REPORT

1.1 Introduction

Hindustan Latex Family Planning Promotion Trust or HLFPPT came into existence in 1992 with the sole aim of providing complete and compassionate care for people from all walks of life. Over the years HLFPPT has undertaken numerous pioneering projects, which have helped in empowering themselves and helped many communities in understanding the basic necessity of a healthy lifestyle and thus contribute to the achievement of national health and population development goals. Hindustan Latex Family Planning Promotion Trust (HLFPPT) has been set up by Hindustan Latex Limited (HLL) now known as Hindustan Lifecare, as a not for profit organization under the Travancore Cochin Trust Act. HLL is a fast growing Health care company within the administrative control of the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India. It has over the years, grown and matured into a professional services organization that spreads smiles and the message of good health among the populace of this great country

1.2 ORGANIZATIONAL EVOLUTION

HLFPPT has been supporting implementation of Reproductive Child Health (RCH) and HIV prevention and care programs across eleven states in India. Established and promoted by Hindustan Latex Limited (HLL, a Public Sector Undertaking), HLFPPT has grown as a social enterprise with more than five hundred employees. It has established partnership with the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MOHFW), state governments of Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Jharkhand, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, North Eastern States, Orissa, Rajasthan, Uttaranchal and Uttar Pradesh for implementing intensive programmes that reach millions of women and families.

Besides, HLFPPT programmes are supported by Department for International Development (DfID), European Commission (EC), United States Agency for International Development (USAID), OXFAM, Norway India Partnership Initiative (NIPI) and Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF) among others.

1. REPRODUCTIVE AND CHILD HEALTH

In a growing economy like India the need to accelerate efforts for the improvement of Reproductive and Child Health statistics has been the foremost agenda of Millennium Development Goals (MDG) 4 & 5. In an effort to strengthen work that impacts the national RCH indicators, HLFPPT has initiated various Family Planning, Maternal and Child Health and Newborn Care Programs which are working hand-in-hand with national policies like National Population Policy, Reproductive and Child Health Program, National Rural Health Mission, and the Tenth and Eleventh Five Year Plans.

FAMILY PLANNING - Contraceptive Social Marketing Programs

a) Rural Social Marketing Program

HLFPPT undertook its premier Family Planning Program of Uttar Pradesh with the SIFPSA supported 'Sukhi Sansar' Project which is involved in the Social Marketing of Deluxe Nirodh Condoms, and Mala-D Oral Contraceptive Pills in Rural Uttar Pradesh. Over the past eleven years the Rural Social Marketing Program has developed a Direct Distribution System of condoms in over 25,000 villages in the 'C' and 'D' categories in the State which has resulted in a substantial increase in the sale of condoms.

b) Community Based Social Marketing Program

HLFPPT has been immensely successful in creating awareness about the importance of Family Planning. The Community Based Social Marketing Program undertaken in Bihar, Jharkhand & Orissa - boasts of the achievements.

Our organization has been implementing the Social Marketing Program supported by NACO in four Indian states.

c) Network Based Social Marketing Program

Operations in Andhra Pradesh, commenced in 1999 with the implementation of the State Management Agency (SMA) for APSACS. And in 2000, HLFPPT started the Andhra Pradesh Social Marketing Program (APSMP) with funding from the Government of India. This Program worked on the Social Marketing of Contraceptives in order to increase awareness of Family Planning. This was followed up with a second phase of APSMP which ran from 2004 to 2007 and worked exclusively in over 10,000 villages. The Trust has created and nurtured a network of RMPs in the State known as 'Tarang Network' whose members are called 'Tarang Partners'. Internal research has confirmed that the RMP is the first source of information, counseling and treatment for all ailments in the village, this being especially true where access to an MBBS qualified doctor is rare. Thus, the RMP acts as a very strong influence of people's behavior when it comes to health and health care in villages.

***** Kanpur Voucher Scheme

HLFPPT is the implementing partner for this USAID project which provides free health care vouchers to people living Below the Poverty Line (BPL) in urban slums which can be exchanged for free Maternal and Child Health Services in accredited private facilities/hospitals

❖ Sehat Ki Sawari (Mobile Health Vans, Uttaranchal)

Mobile Health Clinics were introduced as an easily accessible health care tool in the absence of primary health care delivery services. The aim was to provide remote and under-served communities with quality Reproductive and Child Health services.

The Mobile Health Clinic Project initiated by the Government of Uttaranchal was piloted in Chamoli District in 2004. Following the success of this endeavor the UAHFS (Uttaranchal Health and Family Welfare Society) decided to undertake a similar Project

in Tehri Garwal District in 2005. The health van and the MHC team follow well planned routes that take them to stations of a Fixed Service Delivery Point for a day and then move to the next Delivery Point in the evening. The MHC operates in two cycles.

• Day 1-12: Cycle 1

• Day 16-27: Cycle 2

The MHC follows a 'fixed date' approach for its operation irrespective of the day including Sunday.

❖ Nishchay-Home Based Pregnancy Test Card

Nishchay, home based pregnancy test cards were introduced through ASHA workers in all states and union territories of the country by HLFPPT with funding support from National Rural Health Mission (NRHM). Family Planning (FP) and Maternal and Child Health (MCH) programmes, for decades have been struggling with primarily three issues, low percentage of women going for Ante Natal Care (ANC) in first trimester due to late detection of pregnancy, contraceptive provisioning not started after ruling out pregnancy, very high number of unsafe abortions due to late detection of pregnancy. This nationwide program was hence rolled out to address them and make this home based pregnancy testing technology available to rural women free of cost with appropriate information. Various state/district level NGOs and CBOs are an integral part of the programme for provisioning of training and monitoring support. Raising community awareness is the key approach of the program. Important activities include capacity building of ASHAs through resource persons with field and NGO experience, brand and logo visibility using mass media campaign, community outreach activities using mid -media campaign, and integrating the card into the monitoring system of NRHM/RCH-II. Project Nischay is thus, not an end but a means towards safe motherhood and healthy families.

❖ MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH PROGRAMS

Merrygold Health Network (Social Franchising)

Merrygold Health Network aims at creating access to low cost good quality Maternal and Child Health (MCH) services by networking with Private health service providers as franchisees. Merrygold Health Network aims at creating access to low cost good quality Maternal and Child Health (MCH) services by networking with Private health service providers as franchisees. The project has a hub and spoke design with Level 1 franchisees (Merrygold) established at district levels as the hub connected to level 2 and level 3. Level 2 comprises of fractional franchisees (Merrysilver) established at subdivision and block level. Level 3 (Merrytarang members) comprises of providers like ANMs, ASHA and AYUSH and acts as first point of contact with the community as also referral support to Merrysilver and Merrygold hospitals. Emphasis is on affordable pricing, quality assurance, customer servicing and efficient service delivery through standardized operating protocols. IT enabled Hospital Management Information System (HMIS) is also being established. A team of public health and clinical professionals facilitates capacity building and quality assurance. Integrated Health Insurance policy for coverage of risk during maternity has been introduced, a branded pharmacy and chain of diagnostic facilities is also being strategized. State government has accredited Merrygold hospitals for Janani Suraksha Yojana and Sowbhagyavati Scheme to provide free of cost RCH services and emergency obstetric care.

2. HIV-AIDS CARE, TREATMENT AND SUPPORT

The rise in the HIV-AIDS epidemic and its overlapping nature with issues related to sexuality and reproductive health have led the organization to initiate efforts to combat this critical health challenge. As a result HLFPPT partnered with the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF), National Aids Control Organization (NACO), Department For International Development (DFID), USAID and various other State AIDS Control Societies in implementing a gamut of community based HIV/AIDS interventions, that aim to reach out to the most vulnerable and high risk population in four states in India

3. PUBLIC HEALTH CONSULTING

Over the years HLFPPT has lent its expertise to organizations like OXFAM, Norway India Partnership Initiatives (NIPI), ECTA, SCOVA and DFID among others. It is recognized across

the country for services in consultation, quality management and technical assistance to programs in RCH, MCH, HIV-AIDS and other Health Systems

ORGANIZATION DIVISIONS:

I. SOCIAL MARKETING AND FRANCHISING DIVISION

This is a well established Social Marketing and Franchising Division with strength of more than four hundred professional staff across eleven states of the country. We are focused on leveraging the private sector and establishing efficient public-private partnerships, focusing on maximum benefits for people at the 'Bottom of the Pyramid.

II. HIV-AIDS: PREVENTION, TREATMENT AND CARE

The specialized HIV-AIDS division has the strength of approximately, 80 highly dedicated and professionally qualified staff in Andhra Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh and Chhattisgarh

III. TECHNICAL SERVICES DIVISION

The Technical Services Division (TSD) consists of a dynamic team of doctors, paramedics, public health professionals, social workers, management executives and researchers, who have lent technical expertise to organizations like OXFAM, Norway India Partnership Initiatives (NIPI), ECTA, SCOVA and DFID among others. They are widely recognized for consultations and services in quality management and technical assistance to programs in RCH, MCH, and HIV-AIDS.

IV. FINANCE DIVISION

HLFPPT has a robust team of Financial Management Experts and Chartered Accountants as an integral part of all programs that believes in building a strong monitoring and Financial Control System ensuring transparency and accountability to all its stakeholders

V. HUMAN RESOURCE AND ADMINISTRATION DIVISION

The HR and Administration Division are fundamental to all the operations. All the policies and systems are ensured to be people-centric and build enabling environments to assure a highly result oriented workforce.

VI. KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT DIVISION

Knowledge management division is being strategised with the sole aim of converting the learning accrued over a decade of HLFPPT's work, towards continuous and sustained development of its own internal human capital and also to make an effective influence on the policy making process undertaken by governments and policy makers.

1.3 MERRYGOLD HEALTH NETWORK

State Innovations in Family Planning Project Services Agency (SIFPSA), Government of Uttar Pradesh, United States Agency for International Development (USAID), in collaboration with Hindustan Latex Family Planning Promotion Trust (HLFPPT), a not for profit trust promoted by Hindustan Latex Limited, announced the launch of "Merrygold Health Network" at an inaugural function held in Lucknow on 23rd August 2007. Mr. A.K. Mishra IAS Principal Secretary, Govt. of UP along with Mr. George Deikun, Mission Director, USAID inaugurated the launch program and unveiled the identity of Merrygold Health Network.

Merrygold Health Network is an innovative Social Franchising Program in India providing essential health care services to the poorer sections in the society. The program is being implemented through a Public Private Partnership (PPP) in an endeavor to make health care services accessible for the underprivileged. The state of Uttar Pradesh has a high reliance on private health care providers for access to health facilities. The private providers are focused on curative care, which has limited their role in preventive and promotive health care. USAID and State Innovations in Family Planning Project Service Agency (SIFPSA) has developed an innovative social franchising program though consultations with various national and international experts.

The integrated network works on referrals to ensure that health asset utilization is optimized and utmost value is delivered to the health care seeker. The franchised network has been developed as a sustainable social enterprise and after the initial funding of three years will continue to operate with the operating surplus of this network. This innovative **Public Private Partnership** has opened a new channel for healthcare service delivery for the poor in UP.

As part of the program USAID and SIFPSA is supporting (HLFPPT), for implementing the social franchising program in UP. HLFPPT is developing, managing and sustaining Merrygold Health Network. The Merrygold network provides high quality maternal and child health services at affordable prices. This network comprises of 20-bed Merrygold Hospitals, 5 bedded Merrysilver clinics and Merrytarang partners (ASHA, AWWs, local women, etc). This network of providers provides varied package of services at affordable prices. This program was initially launched in six districts in the first year, and subsequently scaled up to 35 districts of UP by the third year.

VISION: To create a sustainable public private partnership in health sector for low income working class and poor by developing a sustainable network of franchised hospitals providing quality RCH services at prefixed prices.

THE FRANCHISEE BUSINESS MODEL

The business model is basically a 3-Tier model that consists of three levels of health provider network.{Figure 1}




Figure: 1

Level-1: This level (L1) consists of Franchisees known as "Merrygold hospitals" that provide maternal and child health services inclusive of emergency obstetric care facility. These health care facilities fulfill following criteria:

- Round the clock availability of Gynecologist
- Provision for cesarean section
- ♣ Separate Labor room and Operation Theatre
- ♣ Minimum 20 functional beds
- ♣ Provision of referral transport service


Level-2: This level (L2) consists of Franchisees known as "Merrysilver clinics" that provide maternal and child health services and fulfill following criteria:

- Round the clock availability of AYUSH doctor
- ♣ Provision of normal delivery i.e. availability of functional Labor room
- **♣** Minimum 5 functional beds

Level-3: This level comprises of providers like ANMs, ASHA and AYUSH, known as "Merrytarang members" and acts as first point of contact with the community as also referral support to Merrysilver and MerryGold hospitals. This would be incorporating community level participation.

The Referral network works on Hub and Spoke model:

this model represents that the L3 members identify the pregnant ladies and bring them to Level 2 franchisees i.e. Merrysilver clinics where normal delivery can be conducted and in case if complications arise then these cases are referred to the multi speciality hospitals at district level that are Merry gold Hospitals. Approximately 25 L3 Members are associated with each L2 at block level and every L1 at district level on an average has 10 L2 franchisees associated so that proper referral system is in place for the Network to sustain. {Figure 2}



Here
$$\circ$$
 = Level 3 member

Figure: 2

The network lays emphasis on following important features:

- ❖ Affordable pricing- Rs.2499 for Normal Delivery and Rs. 7999 for cesarean section
- Quality assurance- A team of public health and clinical professionals facilitates capacity building and quality assurance.
- * Customer servicing -efficient service delivery through standardized operating protocols
- ❖ IT enabled Hospital Management Information System (HMIS)
- ❖ Integrated Health Insurance policy for coverage of risk during maternity
- ❖ A branded pharmacy and chain of diagnostic facilities is also being strategized.
- ❖ State government has accredited Merrygold hospitals for Janani Suraksha Yojana and Sauwbhagyavati Scheme to provide free of cost RCH services and emergency obstetric care.

| From June 2011 to Feb. 2012 | |
|-----------------------------|-------|
| Total Deliveries | 10795 |
| Normal Delivery | 8933 |
| LSCS Delivery | 1862 |
| ANC | 48470 |
| DCP | 3519 |
| IUCD | 893 |
| Sterlization | 185 |
| CYP | 9229 |

Process of franchisee expansion in the network: Once the facility is identified the validation of the facility is done by the Quality Assurance team followed by the Quality assurance orientation and training of the health providers in the facility. Parallel to this, the Branding and promotional activities take place in the facility. The franchisee is technically supported by a regular follow up through quarterly QA visits and Medical Audits. {Figure 3}




Figure: 3

1.4 Training and capacity building: is crucial to Merrygold Health Network, the social franchising model for health care. The training team is a blend of rich experience of Dr. Vasanthi Krishnan, Dr. P.C. Das, Dr. Shubhra Phillips, Dr. Bimla Pandey and youthful enthusiasm of Dr. Saumya Misra. The team undertakes customized training programs of Medical as well as paramedical staff on issues related to maternal and child health covering topics ranging from essential and emergency obstetric care, Infection prevention and waste management, Standard operating protocols for nurses , Family planning for the three levels of franchisees identified under the network. The training team has master trainers trained by NIHFW on 'No Touch Technique of IUCD insertion' on which the service providers are being trained on the ZOE model. All trainings are practical and hands on with demonstrations and practice sessions. (Appendix I and II)

Methodology used : (Appendix III)

- Presentation
- Discussion
- Demonstration
- Lecture
- Brainstorming

Training Material:

- AV Aid
- Flip Book
- Zoe Model
- Reference material
- LCD/Projector

<u>Quality Assessment:</u> Along with the training, the franchisee is also introduced to the quality issues related to hospitals through Quality Assurance orientation.(Appendix) The assessment scores in different segments of services help them know the areas of improvement. There by a follow up of the scores is done by the quarterly QA visits in each franchisee. A medical Audit is also conducted by the same team to know the documentation practices in these facilities.

1.5 Role in the organization: I have been worked as a Management Trainee in the Merrygold health network project under the Uttar Pradesh Social Franchising part of HLFPPT. Working in HLFPPT has been a unique blend of enthusiasm, creativity and sincere working. I have been associated with the quality and training services department and my major role under this unit were:-

- 1. Support the training team by organizing and conducting trainings for Merrygold hospitals, Merrysilver clinics and Merrytarang members in different districts of UP.
- Support the quality assurance team by conducting regular quality assessment visits and ensuring compliance at Merrygold hospitals and Merrysilver clinics in different districts of UP.
- 3. Supporting the area managers in identifying new franchisees in adjoining districts.
- 4. Validation of prospective franchisee units to be chosen as Merrygold hospital/ Merrysilver clinics.

- 5. Preparing and maintaining records and reports of all activities related to training and QA and service data output in the area of regional office.
- 6. Timely report submission related to Validation, Training and Quality Assurance to Head TSD and reports pertaining to administration issues to Team Leader – UPSF/ Manageroperations.
- 7. Liasioning with Local medical association
- 8. Technical support in health camps
- 9. Support in L3 trainings
- 10. Support on field study/research study
- 12. Representation in CME meetings
- 13. Support in execution of HMIS at L-1

1.6 Reflective Learning

It has been an extensive learning period as a Management Trainee, because I got a chance to understand the situation of health system in UP specially the private sector health system. There are huge numbers of private hospitals and clinics in UP which are functional in providing health care especially maternal and child health care, and to understand their delivery of health services was the most challenging and interesting task. During my dissertation tenure, I got opportunity to visit various districts in UP, and understood the demands of the community and availability of services with the private sector hospitals and clinics. I was also involved in the USAID audit process and learned its processes and its requirements. As I was also involved in training of medical and paramedical staff, I was able to do training needs assessment and came out with my own methods to train in an interesting and interactive way. Overall, I was able to find out the gaps between the community needs and the private health delivery system.

1.7 & 1.8 Quality Assessment & Medical Audit at various Franchisees- As a Management Trainee in the Technical Support Division of the Merrygold Health Network (MGHN), I share the responsibility of training, quality assessment and also of conducting Medical Audit at different Franchisees of MGHN. Working on this profile, trainings were conducted in the following Franchisees. (Table 1, 2 and 3)

| Table 1: List of Merrygold Hospitals where training and QA orientation was done | | | |
|---|-----------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Date District | | Name of Hospital | No. of Participants |
| 15-17/3/2012 | Varanasi | Gangaram Memorial Hospital | 21 |
| 19-20/3/2012 | Sultanpur | Nayaab Hospital | 5 |
| 21-23/3/2012 | Varanasi | Priya Maternity and Nursing Home | 45 |
| 21-23/3/2012 | Bhadohi | Mother Care Hospital | 10 |
| 28/3/2012 | Jaunpur | Suraksha Surgical Centre | 8 |

Merrysilver Clinics training and QA orientation

| Wellyshver Chines truining and Q11 offendation | | | | |
|--|----------------------|------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date | District | Nan | ne of Hospital | No.of Participants |
| 1/2/2012 | Bareilly | Chis | hti Polyclinic | 4 |
| 1/2/2012 | Barabanki | Ami | na clinic | 3 |
| 1/2/2012 | Barabanki | Ama | n Hospital | 7 |
| 25/3/2012 | Bareilly | San | jeevani Polyclinic | 3 |
| 25/3/2012 | Bareilly | Ann | ol Hospital | 4 |
| 26/3/2012 | Bareilly | May | a Hospital | 7 |
| 26/3/2012 | Bareilly | Saty | asheel Hospital | 4 |
| 26/3/2012 | Bareilly | Ram | esh Family Nursing Home | 7 |
| 26/3/2012 | Bareilly | Resh | ıma Hospital | 8 |
| 1/4/2012 | Bareilly | Aliy | a Clinic | 3 |
| 1/4/2012 | Bareilly | Shik | har Nursing Home | 4 |
| 1/4/2012 | Bareilly Ha | | h Hospital | 6 |
| 14/4/2012 | Kanpur | Mad | hulok Hospital | 19 |
| | Merrytarang training | | | |
| Date | Date District | | Name of Hospital | No.of Participants |
| 15/4/2012 | Moradab | ad | M.s.Nursing Home | 16 |
| 23/4/2012 | 2 Agra | | Raj Nursing Home | 23 |

<u>Table 2</u>: List of Franchisees where QA was done

| QA Orientation | | | | |
|----------------|-----------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Date District | | Name of Hospital | | |
| 2/3/2012 | Ghaziabad | B.M.Hospital | | |
| 2/3/2012 | Ghaziabad | Shanno Devi Nursing Home | | |
| 2/3/2012 | Ghaziabad | Bharat Nursing Home | | |
| 2/3/2012 | Ghaziabad | Jachcha Bachcha Kendra | | |
| 2/3/2012 | Ghaziabad | Sanjeevani Hospital | | |
| 3/3/2012 | Ghaziabad | Jeevan Rekha Nursing Home | | |
| 3/3/2012 | Ghaziabad | Ramphal Sherma Polyclinic | | |

| 3/3/2012 | Ghaziabad | Saksham Hospital |
|----------|-----------|--------------------------------|
| 3/3/2012 | Ghaziabad | Grover Clinic |
| 6/4/2012 | Fatehpur | Dr.S.L.Srivastava Chikitsalaya |
| 6/4/2012 | Fatehpur | Kumar Hospital |


| Table 3: List of Merrygold (L1) For Medical Audit - MGHN (Phase - II) | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|----|--|--------------------------|--|
| Sr. No. | Name of District | | Name of L1 | Date of last QA Visit | |
| | | 1 | Merrygold Hospital | 19.02.2012 | |
| | | 2 | Merrygold Hospital | 19.02.2012 | |
| 1 | Kanpur | 3 | Metro Hospital | 20.02.2012 | |
| | | 4 | Merrygold Hospital | 20.03.2012 | |
| 2 | Gorakhpur | 5 | Dr. Abbasi Hospital | 13.03.2012 | |
| 3 | Budaun | 6 | Merrygold Hospital | 23.03.2012 | |
| 4 | Agra | 7 | Merrygold Hospital | 08.02.2012 | |
| | | 8 | Shri Ram Maternity Centre | 25.03.2012 | |
| 5 | Lucknow | 9 | Saraswati Hospital and Research Centre | 22.02.2012 | |
| 6 | Barabanki | 10 | Deva Hospital | 06.03.2012 | |
| 7 | Moradabad | 11 | Sadbhawana Nursing Home | 25.02.2012 | |
| 8 | Shahjaahanpur | 12 | B.N. Behl Memorial Hospital | 10.03.2012 | |
| 9 | Mathura | 13 | Maa Saraswati Hospital | 09.03.2012 | |
| | | 14 | Rajiv Gandhi Memorial Hospital and Research Centre | 11.03.2012 | |
| 10 | Azamgarh | 15 | Anand Hospital | 11.03.2012 | |
| | | 16 | Jeevan Joyti Hospital | 17.03.2012 | |
| 11 | Allahabad | 17 | Vatsalya Hospital | 17.03.2012 | |

| Table 4: List of Merrysilver Clinics (L2) For Medical Audit - MGHN (Phase - II) | | | | | |
|---|------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Sr. No. | Name of District | | Name of L2 | Date of last QA Visit | |
| | Kanpur | 1 | Saluja Hospital | 16.02.2012 | |
| | | 2 | Hasmi Hospital | 17.02.2012 | |
| | | 3 | Rahi Medical Centre | 16.02.2012 | |
| | | 4 | Satya Trauma and Maternity Centre | 16.02.2012 | |
| 1 | | 5 | Maa Gayatri Hospital | 17.02.2012 | |
| | | 6 | Sunny Hospital | 15.02.2012 | |
| | | 7 | Nasir Hospital | 17.02.2012 | |
| | | 8 | Shanti Nursing Home | 17.02.2012 | |
| | | 9 | J.B. Nursing Home | 15.02.2012 | |

| | | | Shanti Nursing Home & Surgical | |
|---|-----------|----|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | 10 | Centre | 16.02.2012 |
| | | 11 | Sri Jairam Hospital | 16.02.2012 |
| | | 12 | Bimal Nursing Home | 16.02.2012 |
| | | 13 | R.C. Memorial Nursing Home | 15.02.2012 |
| | | 1 | Divyaman Hospital | 11.03.2012 |
| | Gorakhpur | 2 | Yash Hospital | 11.03.2012 |
| | | 3 | Gurukripa Hospital | 13.03.2012 |
| | | 4 | Aashirwad Hospital | 11.03.2012 |
| 2 | | 5 | Decent Clinic | 11.03.2012 |
| | | 6 | Madhesia Hospital | 10.03.2012 |
| | | 7 | Almin Hospital | 10.03.2012 |
| | | 8 | Shakti Saumya Chikitsalaya | 10.03.2012 |
| 3 | Barielly | 1 | Family Nursing Home | 29.03.2012 |
| | <u> </u> | 1 | Kali Charan Gupta Smarak Hospital | 22.03.2012 |
| | | 2 | Nisha Maternity Home | 22.03.2012 |
| 4 | Budaun | 3 | Lalta Prasad Smarak Hospital | 22.03.2012 |
| | | 4 | Raj Nursing Home | 22.03.2012 |
| | | 5 | New Born & Child Care Centre | 23. 03. 2012 |
| | Allahabad | 1 | Gama Hospital | 11.03.2012 |
| | | 2 | Prabha Clinic | 12.03.2012 |
| | | 3 | Jeevan Raksha Clinic | 12.03.2012 |
| | | 4 | Nirmal Hospital | 12.03.2012 |
| | | 5 | Hayat Hospital | 12.03.2012 |
| | | 6 | Janhit Hospital | 12.03.2012 |
| 5 | | 7 | Sardar Patel Hospital | 11.03.2012 |
| | | 8 | Kumar Nursing Home | 11.03.2012 |
| | | 9 | Shivlok Hospital | 11.03.2012 |
| | | 10 | National Hospital | 11.03.2012 |
| | | 11 | Shakti Medical | 11.03.2012 |
| | | 12 | Diksha Hospital | 11.03.2012 |
| | | | Shakuntala Child and General | |
| | | 13 | Hospital | 11.03.2012 |
| | Mau | 1 | Delhi Hospital | 18.03.2012 |
| | | 3 | Harshita Clinic | 12.03.2012 |
| | | 4 | Usmania Hospital Pramod Jankalyan | 12.03.2012 12.03.2012 |
| 6 | | 5 | Ali Hospital | 12.03.2012 |
| | | 6 | Navjeevan Hospital | 12.03.2012 |
| | | 7 | Jeevan Nursing Home | 18.03.2012 |
| | | / | Jee van Tursing Home | 10.03.2012 |

| | | | - | |
|----|-----------|----|--|--------------------------|
| | | 9 | Limra Nursing Home | 18.03.2012 |
| | | | Dr. Sheela Sharma Memorial | 00.02.2012 |
| | | 1 | Hospital | 09.03.2012 |
| | | 3 | Jagdamba Hospital | 09.03.2012 |
| 7 | Mathura | 4 | Chakrapani Arogya Hospital Sriji Hospital | 09.03.2012 09.03.2012 |
| | | 5 | Asha Hospital | 09.03.2012 |
| | | | • | |
| | | 6 | Sangwan Hospital | 09.03.2012 |
| | | 7 | Dayalu Hospital | 09.03. 2012 |
| | | 1 | Ramkishan Gupta Clinic | 12.03.2012 |
| | | 2 | Swadesh Clinic | 12.03.2012 |
| | | 3 | Solanki Nursing Home | 13.03.2012 |
| | | 4 | Gautam Nursing and Maternity Home | 13.03.2012 |
| | | 5 | Usha Memorial Health Centre | 10.03.2012 |
| | | 6 | Jagdeesh Health Care Centre | 10.03.2012 |
| 8 | Agra | 7 | Dr. Alka Mittal Maternity Home | 12.03.2012 |
| | | 8 | | 17.03.2012 |
| | | | Rawat Nursing Home | |
| | | 9 | Ramved Nursing Home | 13.03.2012 |
| | | 10 | Dr. Sangeeta Mother and Child Care Centre | 10.03.2012 |
| | | | | |
| | | 11 | Parmar Hospital Varsha Hospital | 12.03.2012 10.03.2012 |
| | | | * | |
| | | 2 | Indu Nursing Home | 16.03.2012 |
| | | | Vatsalya Hospital | 25.03.2012 |
| | | 3 | Raj Poyclinic | 16.03.2012 |
| | | 4 | Jeevan Hospital | 16.03.2012 |
| | | 5 | Bhargawa Nursing Home | 16.03.201 |
| | | 6 | Khadra Hospital | |
| 9 | Lucknow | 7 | Dr. Neerja Singh | |
| 9 | Lucknow | 8 | Muzzafar Hospital | 3.02.2012 |
| | | 9 | Aman Hospital | 3.02.2012 |
| | | 10 | Goel Hospital | 3.02.2012 |
| | | 11 | Relief Hospital | 3.02.2012 |
| | | 12 | Chandrapravha Nursing Home | 2.02.2012 |
| | | 13 | Mankameshwar Hospital | 3.02.2012 |
| | | 14 | Hazarilal RV Nursing Home | 3.02.2012 |
| | Moradabad | 1 | Usmani Clinic | 25.02.2012 |
| | | 2 | Noor Hospital | 25.02.2012 |
| 10 | | 3 | Vatsalya Hospital | 26.02.2012 |
| | | | Life Care Centre/Mahanagar | |
| | | 4 | Hospital & Trauma Centre | 26.02.2012 |
| | | | | |

| | | 5 | Ruby Hospital | 25.02.2012 |
|----|--------------|----|-----------------------------------|------------|
| | | 6 | Zeenat Hospital | 26.02.2012 |
| | | 7 | Chaman Hospital | 26.02.2012 |
| | | 8 | Zamila Hospital | 25.02.2012 |
| | | 9 | Delhi Surgical & Maternity Centre | 26.02.2012 |
| | | 10 | MS Nursing Home | 25.02.2012 |
| | | 11 | Amrit Nursing Home | 26.02.2012 |
| | | 12 | Darul Sifa Hospital | 25.02.2012 |
| | | 1 | Handa Nursing Home | 3.03.2012 |
| | | 2 | Royal Nursing Home | 3.03.2012 |
| | | 3 | Usha Memorial Hospital | 2.03.2012 |
| 11 | Shahjahanpur | 4 | Sahara Nursing Home | 10.03.2012 |
| 11 | | 5 | Rakesh Nursing Home | 2.03.2012 |
| | | 6 | Sameed Hospital | 3.03.2012 |
| | | 7 | Gurunanak Hospital | 2.3.2012 |
| | | 8 | Navjoyti Chikitsalaya | 10.03.2012 |
| | Barabanki | 1 | Shifa Hospital | 6.03.2012 |
| | | 2 | Rama Nursing Home | 6.03.2012 |
| 12 | | 3 | Verma Emergency & Hospital | 5.03.2012 |
| | | 4 | Amina Clinic | 5.03.2012 |
| | | 5 | Aman Hospital | 5.03.2012 |
| | | 6 | Shree Shankar Hospital | 5.03.2012 |
| | | 7 | Jan Kalyan Clinic | 5.03.2012 |
| | | 8 | Jan Kalyan Health Centre | 5.03.2012 |



2.1 Introduction

Public sector health programs in India especially in UP have faced well recognized problems, such as in adequate access by most vulnerable groups, poor quality and coverage of primary and secondary facilities, and- until recently- excessive focus on sterilization and inadequate focus on maternal and child health. The private sector has moved to fill in this gap, but the social cost of privatized medical care is high. There is growing evidence to suggest that the private sector provides an increasing share of primary health care and that large segment of the poor use the private sector. Therefore for strengthening and organizing private sector for better public health outcomes it was required to engage private sector nursing Homes in providing RCH services in rural, semi urban areas and urban slums and also assure quality of services and price levels in private sector through a well developed franchising program

The Merrygold health network aims at providing Quality care at affordable prices. As quality is an essential component of the network services therefore a regular monitoring is required to ensure the quality being practiced in the network franchisees. The training provided to the health care providers after the validation covers almost all the essential protocols to be followed in a hospital and moreover the orientation of the clients is also done in addition to the training component. Thus the franchisee is introduced to a self assessment quality tool to help hospitals identify and improve leadership structures and processes that are associated with high performance in clinical quality measures.

This quality assessment of the facilities enables to track the practices, acceptability of the protocols delivered during sessions as well as an important tool for Training needs assessment for capacity building in form of refresher training or further trainings in new franchisees, as the network is gradually undergoing expansion. Therefore the quality parameters need to be studied to trace the needs.

2.2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Competitive environment among the industrial and service sector has also created a competitive environment among the healthcare sector especially, the hospitals operated in private sector of India. This competitive environment among the healthcare organizations emphasized that, improved service quality is the only mean to acquire the competitive position in the market (Lim and Tang, 2000)¹. Thus Quality is the only key factor that helps the customer to distinguish between the superiority and inferiority of services/products. So, healthcare organizations aimed to gain a competitive advantage by maintaining its service quality and increased patient satisfaction which contributes a critical role in the success (Taylor, 1994)². Earlier studies proved that organizations delivering superior quality of services are successful in gaining customer satisfaction, building organizational/hospital image, cost reduction and hence increased their profit (Rust and Zahorik, 1993; Berry et al., 1989; Cronin et al., 2000; Reichheld and Sasser, 1990; Kang and James, 2004; Yoon and Suh, 2004)³⁻⁹, and these hospitals providing high quality of services to their customers increase loyalty, retention, and reduced complaints (Bitner, 1990; Headley & Miller, 1993; Zeithaml et al., 1996; Magi & Julander, 1996; Levesque & McDougall, 1996; Danaher, 1997)¹⁰⁻¹⁷. A patient requires detailed information before availing any service by a particular healthcare facility and if a hospital is not maintaining quality services and in case of dissatisfaction they never hesitate to switch to other service provider or hospital (Ramsaran & Roshee, 2008)¹⁸.

As quality services are intangible in nature and are difficult to measure and thus it is an elusive and distinct construct. Similarly, service quality of hospitals is also difficult to define like other services however, it can be defined from numerous perspective like, "The ability to satisfy the needs and expectations of the patients and their relatives", (Bergman and Klefsjo, 1994, p. 16)¹⁹ or "The totality of features and characteristics of service that bear on its ability to satisfy given needs", (Evans and Lindsay, 1996, p. 15)²⁰⁻²². Lewis and Booms (1983)²¹ considered it to be a comparison between service quality and customer expectations. Parasuraman et al. (1985, p-42)^{23, 24} stated that service quality is "perceived by customers and stems from a comparison of their expectations of the services they will receive with their perceptions of the performance of the service provider".

Merrygold health network has also worked very hard to maintain quality services at their franchised hospitals for improving the maternal and child health indicators specially the institutional deliveries and to provide quality family planning counseling and services. While glancing at data, it shows that over the last two decades the fertility rate in India has considerably declined but a closer look confirms that in some of the states, for example in Uttar Pradesh, the results are not satisfactory. This implies that women in such areas experience repeated pregnancies taxing their health. In Uttar Pradesh it is a common feature in most of the households for women to bear the major chunk of the household chores. However, this work is not supplemented by proper diet due to gender bias against women. The situation does not change even during pregnancy thus leading to various problems during pregnancy. In rural areas the picture is worse as owing to agricultural economy women also help in the fields apart from performing the household chores. This accompanied by malnutrition is responsible for various problems during pregnancy. Other than nutrition another important factor influencing the health of women during pregnancy is her health-seeking behavior, especially antenatal checkups. Antenatal care (ANC) refers to pregnancy-related health care provided by a doctor or a health worker in a medical facility or at home. A review of literature shows that women who do not avail of antenatal care are more likely to suffer from problems during pregnancy as ANC is one of the four most important pillars of safe motherhood along with family planning, safe delivery and essential obstetric care (WHO 1996)³⁰. However, the health-seeking behavior of women is again the function of the interplay between various socio-economic conditions including caste, place of residence, education level of both woman and her husband, work status of woman and standard of living. According to NFHS3 data of UP, the current status of Maternal and child health indicators are like-mothers who had at least 3 ANC visits for their last birth is 43.6%, mothers who consumed IFA for 90 days or more during last pregnancy is merely 8.7%, births assisted by any health personnel is 29.2%, institutional deliveries is 22% and mothers who received PNC from any health personnel within 2 days of delivery for their last birth is 14.3%. The indicators for family planning are like- use of any family planning method is 43.6%; female sterilization rate is 17.3% and male sterilization rate is only 0.2% (wealth index quartile, NFHS 3 data, Key indicators of Uttar Pradesh)²⁶. As there are so many private practitioners practicing in UP and number of maternity centers are operating, it becomes a necessity that along with the

public health system, private health sector should also provide quality healthcare services and proper counseling for services and family planning so that the health indicators can be improved (Sood & Nagla, 1996)³⁵. For this purpose a 3 days training programme is conducted in the Merrygold facilities and 1 day training programme at Merrygilver clinics is conducted and the Quality assessment is done after a defined tenure of 3 months. But during these visits it was found that health care providers who have been trained to work in the network franchisees still can't give up on certain old practices as these have become a habit for them, although they are aware of the new practices. For this purpose continuous follow up and re-trainings are conducted under the project so that change in behavior can be achieved. The training sessions are based on participatory involvement by the means of role play or demonstrations, group activities, etc. When the influences of other intervening factors are controlled, education emerges as the single most important determinant of maternal health care utilization in India. (Govindasamy and Ramesh 1997)²⁹.

Quality in health care is "Proper performance of interventions that are known to be safe, that are affordable to society, and have ability to produce impact on morbidity, mortality, disability and malnutrition." Quality assurance cycle consists of 10 steps as shown in Figure 4.




Figure 4: Quality Assurance Cycle

Quality Assessment Tool is designed to be used in its entirety, parts can be used separately or independently (e.g. the systems assessment component for capacity building or parts of the traceand-verify protocols for targeted data verification). The Tool can be used by independent external auditors or internally within a Program or project. Quality assessment is often an initial step in a larger QA process which may include providing feedback to health workers on performance, training and motivating staff to undertake quality improvements, and designing solutions to bridge quality gaps. Measuring Quality leads directly to the identification of areas for improvement or enhancement—the first step in Improving Quality. Successful improvement ultimately contributes to attaining quality care, the goal quality of (http://www.qaproject.org/methods/resmeasure.html)²⁵.

In 1999, the Guatemala Ministry of Health and the Quality Assurance Project undertook a joint initiative, which applied quality design methodology at seven hospitals in the highlands of Guatemala. The goal of the quality design effort was to create client-driven obstetric care services that would improve quality of maternal care, with the longer-term vision of decreasing maternal mortality. This case study describes the quality design experience of seven hospitals in Guatemala, using the Solola Hospital to illustrate some of the specific steps in the process. It is noted that quality design teams were formed and trained in each hospital. Each team identified a particular area of concern for its facility, such as the reception and triage of patients in labor, postpartum care, or regional surgical care. Overall, facilitators were able to guide the teams over several months to redesign and implement improved processes of obstetrical care.

In 2005, QAP assisted the Ministry of Health of Nicaragua to carry out a national competency assessment of skilled birth attendants to identify gaps and weaknesses that would be addressed through in-service training and supervision. The assessment included Ministry of Health hospitals (20) and health centers (44) drawn from each of the country's 17 health areas. A total of 1,358 physicians and nurses who attend deliveries were evaluated through a written knowledge test. From this group, 580 providers participated in skills tests related to the prevention and management of obstetric and neonatal complications. Anatomical models were used for the skill assessment. While the assessment found moderate to high levels of knowledge in several functional areas, clinical skills were generally weaker. The skill assessment found that only 51% of the personnel assessed were able to adequately fill out a partogram; 46% correctly performed active management of third stage of labor; 51%, manual extraction of the placenta; 46%, bimanual uterine compression; 71% immediate newborn care; and 55%, neonatal resuscitation. The report was published in 2006 by the Ministry of Health of Nicaragua, QAP, PAHO, CARE, and UNICEF. (http://www.hciproject.org/taxonomy/term/160)³⁶

Thus Quality assessment also serves as a tool to know the training needs and thereby contribute in maintaining Quality improvement.

2.3 OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

GENERAL OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY:

 The objective of the study is to explore the issues regarding Quality of services in Merrygold hospitals and Merrysilver clinics that need to be focused more during the capacity building exercises to provide quality care at affordable prices.

SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES:

- To assess the quality of services available in the Merrygold hospitals & Merrysilver clinics.
- To find out the training areas needs to be focused during training and re-training sessions.
- To suggest improvements for the Merrygold hospitals & Merrysilver clinics based on the scores of Quality assessment and medical Audit checklist.

The various quality parameters mentioned in the QA checklist would be studied in order to conclude the areas working as Project Officer in the project. The QA and Medical Audit were conducted in 17 Merrygold hospitals and 109 Merry silver hospitals.

2.4 METHODS FOR DATA COLLECTION

Area of study- The data is collected from various districts of UP from the franchised hospitals and clinics by Merrygold Health Network.

Study Design and Tools & Techniques- The study is based on quantitative study design aiming to get in-depth understanding of the quality of services and training needs assessment of the franchised hospitals and clinics of Merrygold health network in 35 districts under Uttar Pradesh Social Franchising. For Quality assessment and medical audit in the Merry gold and Merry silver franchisees a closed ended questionnaire was developed by experts in the organization and the facilities were visited during February 2012 to April 2012. The total numbers of facilities visited and questioned were 17 Merrygold Hospitals (L1) & 109 Merrysilver Clinics (L2). The facilities were selected on the basis that these franchisees were

new associations under the project and quality assessment, training & medical audit was to be done at these facilities as under the project guidelines. The owner of the facility or the Doctor was interviewed & briefed regarding the QA format that it was a tool for self assessment to identify the concerned areas. The training was conducted with the doctors and nursing staff to probe and upgrade the knowledge related to quality standards and infection prevention practices in the hospital. A set of the scorecard was left with the franchisee to look for the areas were improvement was required. Data was filled in the final sheet only after observing the premise especially the operation theatre, labor room; wards and toilet .Case records were reviewed to know the current documentation practices in the facilities. The scoring was done in 0 and 1 format. The parameter was scored 1 if it was available else 0 was marked. The facilities were visited in a pre-planned schedule and the data was collected accordingly.

2.5 LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY:

• This study was conducted in a short frame of 3 months which was not sufficient to get desired level of in-depth understanding. Though the study tries to find out the facts as much as it could do, but still there could be some aspects which it might have not explored and those can be taken up by further studies. Due to financial limitations of the project, sometimes the person interviewed was not a gynecologist, which compromised the quality of data in that specific hospital or clinic.

2.6 Findings


<u>MERRYGOLD HOSPITALS (L1)-</u> The parameters for L1 were divided into 9 sections and total score of 89 was there which was distributed as following (Appendix IV):

- **A. 24 hours availability of services** This section had 9 components, so total score for this section was 9 that include emergency new born care, full range of family planning services including Laproscopic services, Emergency Obstetric Care and provision of Cesarean section.
- **B.** Availability of Facilities at OPD of Obstetrics & Gynecology Department- This section had 6 components, so total score for this section was 6 that included signage to guide the client, privacy during examination, facilities for sterilizing instruments, public utility and counseling facilities.
- **C. Clinical Manpower** This section had 9 components, so total score for this section was 9 that included availability of Medical, Paramedical as well as the support staff.
- **D. Physical Infrastructure** This section had 10 components, so total score for this section was 10 and this included provision of separate toilets for men & women, display of facilities provided with rates, suggestion box and various criteria related to functioning of operation theatre.
- **E. Operation Theatre Equipments** This section had 10 components, so total score for this section was 10 that included Boyles trolley, oxygen administration facility, hydraulic OT table, fumigator facility and suction machine.
- **F.** Labour Room- This section had 2 components, so total score for this section was 2 to check the availability of separate functional Labor room to process septic cases.
- **G.** Water/Electricity/communication/Waste disposal- This section had 10 components so total score for this section was 10 based on availability of 24hrs electricity and tap water, colored bins or waste disposal, availability of communication facility, etc.
- **H. Behavioral Aspect** This section had 8 components so total score for this section was 8 based on behavior of hospital staff, BCC activities, IEC material display, etc.
- **I. Infection Prevention and waste management** This section had 23 components, so total score for this section was 23 that included various practices like surgical hand

washing, waste segregation, wearing & removal of gloves, preparation of 0.5% bleaching powder solution, changing of slippers for labor room as well as in OT, etc.

| | Table 5: Percentage score of 9 components of QA score in 17 L1 Facilities | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| | Name of Facility | A | В | C | D | E | F | G | Н | I | | | |
| 1 | Merrygold Hospital(L0),Panki,KNP | 88.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 90.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 73.9 | | | |
| 2 | Merrygold Hospital (L1), KNP | 100.0 | 100.0 | 77.8 | 81.8 | 70.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | | |
| 3 | Metro Hospital,KNP | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 72.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 56.5 | | | |
| 4 | Merrygold Hospital,Badaun | 77.8 | 100.0 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 90.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.7 | | | |
| 5 | Merrygold Hospital, Agra | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | | |
| 6 | Shri Ram Maternity Centre,LKO | 77.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 81.8 | 80.0 | 100.0 | 80.0 | 100.0 | | | | |
| 7 | Saraswati Hospital &Research Centre,LKO | 100.0 | 100.0 | 88.9 | 81.8 | 90.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 82.6 | | | |
| 8 | Dewa Hospital,BBK | 88.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 90.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.7 | | | |
| 9 | Sadbhawana Nursing Home,MBD | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 90.0 | 100.0 | 87.0 | | | |
| 10 | B.N.Behl Memorial Hospital,SJN | 88.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 90.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 90.0 | 100.0 | 95.7 | | | |
| 11 | Maa Saraswati Hospital,Mathura | 100.0 | 100.0 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 90.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | | |
| 12 | Rajiv Gandhi Memorial Hospital & Research Centre, Azamgarh | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 90.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.7 | | | |
| 13 | Anand Hospital, Aamgarh | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 90.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.7 | | | |
| 14 | Jeevan Jyoti Hospital, ALD | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 90.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.7 | | | |
| 15 | Vatsalya Hospital,ALD | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 90.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.7 | | | |
| 16 | Merrygold Hospital,GKP | 88.9 | 100.0 | 77.8 | 90.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 90.0 | 100.0 | 82.6 | | | |
| 17 | Dr.Abbasi's Hospital,GKP | 83.3 | 100.0 | 88.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 90.0 | 100.0 | 65.2 | | | |

Availability of services in L1 hospitals



L1 Merry gold hospitals: The 17 Merrygold hospitals were assessed and their overall performance in different segments is shown in Table 5 and Figure 5 that exhibits the percentage of these components (**A to I**) present in the Merrygold facilities.

Figure 5 & Table 5 explains:

If we consider overall performance of L1 hospitals on different 9 criteria, it comes out that only availability of services at O&G department, availability of equipped labour room and behavioral aspects are scoring full based on QA checklist. All the other aspects are lacking in these hospitals. All the L1's fulfill the components of availability of services at O&G department, provision of Labor room as well as in the behavioral aspects. Seven out of seventeen L1 hospitals studied were not fulfilling the criteria for 24hrs availability of services & 6 out of 17 L1 hospitals were not fulfilling the criteria for adequate clinical manpower. Twelve out of seventeen L1 hospitals were not fulfilling the criteria for adequate physical infrastructure under Merrygold health network. Five out of 17 L1 hospitals were not having fully equipped operation

theatre & 5 out of 17 L1 hospitals were not fulfilling the criteria under availability of water, electricity, etc. Infection prevention practices are suffering the most, as 13 out of 17 L1 hospitals are lacking on the criteria of safe infection prevention practices and safe waste disposal. The two segments that mark the least are the physical infrastructure and infection prevention practices that constitute only 90.9% and 89.3% only. The hospitals performing low in these two areas are L1 at Gorakhpur, Metro hospital and Merrygold L0 Panki at Kanpur.

MERRYSIVER CLINICS: The 109 Merrysilver clinics were assessed and their district wise performance in different segments was studied. The parameters for L2 were divided into 7 criteria's and total score was of 109, which was divided as following (Appendix V):

- **A. Infrastructure& Manpower** This section had 14 components, so total score for this section was 14 that included the essential criteria for any L2 facility.
- **B.** Orientation of service provider to client's right- This section had 7 components, so total score for this section was 7 that included issues of privacy for patients and counseling of the patients
- **C. Furniture & Equipment** This section had 16 components, so total score for this section was 16 that included availability of examination table, cheattle forceps, weight machine, stethoscope, sphygmomanometer, speculum and volsellum etc.
- **D. Labor room** This section had 16 components, so total score for this section was 16 that included availability of suction machine, facility for oxygen administration and maintenance of Emergency tray.
- **E.** New Born Care- This section had 8 components, so the total scores for this section was 8 that included equipments required for neonatal resuscitation.
- **F.** Quality of Clinical Services- This section had 25 components, so the total score for this section was 25 that included clinical protocols being followed while writing the prescription, diagnosing, clamping the cord, management of Eclampsia and Nifedipine use in preterm labor.
- **G. Infection Prevention & Waste Management** This section had 23 components, so total score for this section was 23 that included various practices like surgical hand washing,

waste segregation, wearing & removal of gloves, preparation of 0.5% bleaching powder solution, changing of slippers for labor room as well as in OT, etc.

1. KANPUR

| | Table 6: Percentage sco | res of Q | A done a | t Kanpu | r L2 Fac | ilities | | |
|----|---|----------|----------|---------|----------|---------|-------|-------|
| | Name of the Facility | A | В | C | D | E | F | G |
| 1 | Saluja Hospital, Kanpur | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.7 |
| 2 | Hashmi Hospital, Kanpur | 92.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 96.0 | 95.7 |
| 3 | Rahi Medical Centre, Kanpur | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 95.7 |
| 4 | Satya Trauma &Maternity Centre, Kanpur | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 5 | Maa Gayatri Hospital, Kanpur | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 91.3 |
| 6 | Sunny Hospital, Kanpur | 85.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 62.5 | 100.0 | 73.9 |
| 7 | Nasir Hospital, Kanpur | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 91.3 |
| 8 | Shanti Nursing Home, Kanpur | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 9 | J.B.Nursing Home, Kanpur | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 62.5 | 96.0 | 87.0 |
| 10 | Shanti Nursing Home &Surgical Centre, Kanpur | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.7 |
| 11 | Sri Jairam Hospital, Kanpur | 85.7 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 93.8 | 62.5 | 100.0 | 60.9 |
| 12 | Bimal Nursing Home, Kanpur | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 62.5 | 100.0 | 73.9 |
| 13 | R.C.Memorial nursing Home, Kanpur | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.7 |
| | Overall performance of L2, Kanpur | 97.3 | 100.0 | 98.1 | 99.0 | 82.7 | 99.4 | 89.0 |

Availability of services at L2 Kanpur




Figure: 6

Figure 6 & Table 6 explains:

In Kanpur district, the Merrysilver clinics were lacking on all the criteria's studied except orientation of the service provider towards client's rights. The point of concern is the new born care which is scoring the least i.e. only 82.7% and the hospitals contributing to this negligence are Bimal Nursing Home, Jairam Hospital, Sunny Hospital and JB nursing Home. Safe Infection prevention is being practiced only 89% on an average and the lowest performers are Sunny Hospital and SriJairam Hospital in this segment.

2. ALLAHABAD

| Table 7: Percentage scores of QA done at Allahabad L2 Facilities | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Name of the Facility A B C D E F G | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gama Hospital, Allahabad | 92.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 75.0 | 84.0 | 82.6 | | | | | |
| Prabha Clinic, Allahabad | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 96.0 | 78.3 | | | | | |
| Jeevan Rekha Clinic, | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 96.0 | 78.3 | | | | | |

| Allahabad | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| Nirmal Hospital, Allahabad | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 82.6 |
| Hayat Hospital, Allahabad | 78.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.0 | 43.5 |
| Janhit Hospital, Allahabad | 92.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 84.0 | 73.9 |
| Sardar Patel Hospital, Allahabad | 71.4 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.0 | 65.2 |
| Kumar Nursing Home, Allahabad | 92.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 84.0 | 73.9 |
| Shivlok Hospital, Allahabad | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 56.0 | 87.0 |
| National Hospital, Allahabad | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 32.0 | 26.1 |
| Shakti Medical Centre, Allahabad | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 92.0 | 87.0 |
| Diksha Hospital, Allahabad | 92.9 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 82.6 |
| Shakuntala Child & General Hospital, Allahabad | 85.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 92.0 | 60.9 |
| Allahabad L2 scores | 92.9 | 100 | 99.5 | 99.5 | 94.2 | 85.2 | 70.9 |




Table 7& Figure 7 explains:

In Allahabad district all the L2 Merrysilver clinics are lacking on the various criteria's studied except orientation of service providers towards client rights. The scenario is quite depressing in some of the facilities which scored very low on availability of basic services like in Gama Hospital. Infection prevention practices are suffering badly in some clinics which are scoring around 26% on the checklist. Majority of the facilities are not practicing safe waste disposal and infection prevention practices which are essential for any hospital or a clinic to follow. The infection prevention and waste management practices are overall adopted upto 70.9% only and National Hospital and Hayat Hospital were among the least scorers that follow the protocols only 26.1% and 43.5% respectively. National Hospital scores only 32% in the quality of services the reason being the unavailability of Gynecologist at the time of visit. The new born care is being taken care of only 94.2% where the hospitals scoring less are Gama Hospital, Shakti Medical Centre, Prabha Clinic, Shivlok Hospital and Jeevan Rekha Clinic.

3. AGRA

| | Table 8: Percentage scores of QA done at Agra L2 Facilities | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Name of Facility | A | В | С | D | Е | F | G | | | | | | |
| 1 | RamKishan Gupta Clinic, | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Agra | 100.0 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 92.0 | 95.7 | | | | | | |
| 2 | Swadesh Clinic, Agra | 92.9 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 62.5 | 64.0 | 47.8 | | | | | | |
| 3 | Solanki Nursing Home, Agra | 85.7 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 80.0 | 65.2 | | | | | | |
| 4 | Gautam Nursing &maternity Home, Agra | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 92.0 | 100.0 | | | | | | |
| 5 | Usha Memorial Health Centre, Agra | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 88.0 | 95.7 | | | | | | |
| 6 | Jagdish Health Centre, Agra | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 84.0 | 95.7 | | | | | | |
| 7 | Dr.Alka Mittal Maternity Home, Agra | 100.0 | 85.7 | 93.8 | 93.8 | 75.0 | 72.0 | 56.5 | | | | | | |
| 8 | Rawat Nursing Home, Agra | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 92.0 | 100.0 | | | | | | |
| 9 | Ramved Nursing Home, Agra | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 84.0 | 91.3 | | | | | | |
| 10 | Dr.Sangeeta Mother & Child Care Centre, Agra | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 88.0 | 95.7 | | | | | | |
| 11 | Parmar Hospital, Agra | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.0 | 95.7 | | | | | | |

| 12 | Varsha Hospital, Agra | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 84.0 | 95.7 |
|----|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|
| | Overall performance of | | | | | | | |
| | L2, Agra | 98.2 | 95.2 | 99.5 | 98.4 | 91.7 | 84.7 | 86.2 |




Figure: 8

Table 8 & Figure8 explain:

All the Agra L2 franchisees are having good infrastructure and manpower and are well equipped. Infection prevention and waste management is lacking in almost all the L2 facilities which needs to be improved. Despite of good infrastructure, the quality of services being delivered scores the least along with the infection prevention practices. Among the lowest scorers are Swadesh clinic where only 64% and 47% of these practices are being followed respectively. Another area of concern is the new born care which specially is lowered due to non compliance seen at Swadesh Hospital.

1. BADAUN

| | Table 9: Percentage sco | res of QA | A done at | Badaun | L2 Fac | cilities | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|----------|-------|-------------|
| | Name of the Facility | A | В | C | D | E | F | G |
| | Kali Charan Gupta Smarak | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Hospital, Badaun | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 87.5 | 92.0 | 78.3 |
| | Nisha Maternity Home,, | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Badaun | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 93.8 | 75.0 | 96.0 | 78.3 |
| | Dr.Lalta Prasad Smarak | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Hospital, Badaun | 92.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 62.5 | 0.0 | 69.6 |
| 4 | Raj Nursing Home, Badaun | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.0 |
| | New Born& Child Care Centre, | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Badaun | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 100.0 | 82.6 |
| | Overall performance of L2, | | | | | | | |
| | Badaun | 98.6 | 100.0 | 98.8 | 95.0 | 82.5 | 77.6 | 79.1 |




Table 9 & Figure 9 explains:

All the L2 Franchisees in Badaun are well equipped with infrastructure and manpower. The facilities in Badaun district are lacking on quality of clinical services provided to the patients, new born care and infection prevention and waste disposal practices, especially in Dr.Lalta Prasad Smarak hospital. Some of the clinics claiming 24 hrs facilities don't have doctors at the time of emergency and care.

2. BARABANKI

| Table 10 : Percentage sc | ores of Q | A done at I | Barabanki | L2 Faci | lities | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------|-------|------|--|--|--|--|
| Name of the Facility A B C D E F | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shifa Hospital, Barabanki | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 96.0 | 87.0 | | | | |
| Rama Nursing Home, Barabanki | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 96.0 | 87.0 | | | | |
| Verma Emergency & Hospital, Barabanki | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 96.0 | 87.0 | | | | |
| Amina Clinic, Barabanki | 85.7 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 81.3 | 25.0 | 84.0 | 69.6 | | | | |
| Aman Hospital, Barabanki | 100.0 | 71.4 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 96.0 | 56.5 | | | | |
| Shree Shankar Hospital, Barabanki | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 100.0 | 87.0 | | | | |
| Jan Kalyan Clinic, Barabanki | 92.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 91.3 | | | | |
| Jan Kalyan Health Centre, Barabanki | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 91.3 | | | | |
| Overall performance of L2,Barabanki | 97.3 | 96.4 | 97.7 | 97.7 | 79.7 | 96.0 | 82.1 | | | | |




Figure: 10

Table 10 & Figure 10 explains:

None of the criteria is fulfilled completely on an average by the clinics present in Barabanki district. The facilities are lacking significantly on the New Born care facilities available within the clinics in the district and infection prevention practices are also lacking as in other districts. The low performers in the New Born Care and infection Prevention practices were Amina Clinic & Aman Hospital.

3. GORAKHPUR

| Table 11 : Percentage | e scores of | QA done | at Gora | khpur L2 | Faciliti | es | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|-------|-------|
| Name of the Facility | A | В | C | D | E | F | G |
| Divyaman Hospital, Gorakhpur | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 96.0 | 100.0 |
| Yash Hospital, Gorakhpur | 64.3 | 100.0 | 43.8 | 100.0 | 37.5 | 52.0 | 52.2 |
| Gurukripa Hospital, Gorakhpur | 92.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 96.0 | 73.9 |
| Aashirwad Hospital, Gorakhpur | 85.7 | 100.0 | 81.3 | 100.0 | 62.5 | 68.0 | 47.8 |
| Decent Clinic, Gorakhpur | 92.9 | 85.7 | 93.8 | 93.8 | 50.0 | 76.0 | 73.9 |
| Madhesia Hospital, Gorakhpur | 71.4 | 100.0 | 68.8 | 81.3 | 0.0 | 68.0 | 47.8 |
| Almin Hospital, Gorakhpur | 85.7 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 93.8 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 91.3 |
| Shakti Saumya Chikitsalaya, | | | | | | | |
| Gorakhpur | 92.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 25.0 | 64.0 | 56.5 |
| Overall Performance of L2, | | | | | | | |
| Gorakhpur | 85.7 | 98.2 | 85.2 | 95.3 | 51.6 | 77.5 | 67.9 |




Table 11 & Figure 11 explains:

In the Gorakhpur district except the orientation of service provider to clients' right and labor room facility, rest all parameters are scoring low especially the new born care, quality of clinical services and the infection prevention practices. The new born care facilities are especially lacking on Gorakhpur district with an overall score of 51.6%. Madhesia Hospital has no provision of new born care and scores least in practicing infection prevention.

4. MATHURA

| Table 12 : Percent | Table 12 : Percentage scores of QA done at Mathura L2 Facilities | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Name of the facility | A | В | C | D | E | F | G | | | | | | |
| Dr. Sheela Sharma Memorial Hospital, Mathura | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.7 | | | | | | |
| Jagdamba Hospital, Mathura | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | | | | | |
| Chakrapani Arogya Hospital, Mathura | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.0 | 73.9 | | | | | | |
| Sriji Hospital, Mathura | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 96.0 | 95.7 | | | | | | |
| Asha Hospital, Mathura | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 64.0 | 82.6 | | | | | | |
| Sangwan Hospital, Mathura | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 76.0 | 95.7 | | | | | | |
| Dayalu Hospital, Mathura | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 88.0 | 78.3 | | | | | | |
| Overall performance of L2, Mathura | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.1 | 99.1 | 96.4 | 88.6 | 88.8 | | | | | | |




Table 12 & Figure 12 explains:

All the L2 franchisees in Mathura are structurally sound enough and well equipped. The area of concern is the new born care, quality of clinical services delivered and infection prevention practices. Asha Hospital and Chakrapani Arogya Hospital score lowest in the new born care and infection prevention practices respectively

5. SHAHJAHANPUR

| Table 13 : Percentage scor | es of QA | done at S | hahjaha | npur L | 2 Facilit | ies | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|-----------|---------|--------|-----------|-------|------|
| Name of the Facility | A | В | C | D | E | F | G |
| Handa Nursing Home, Shahjahanpur | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.0 | 87.0 |
| Royal Nursing Home, Shahjahanpur | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 96.0 | 78.3 |
| Usha Memorial Hospital, Shahjahanpur | 100.0 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 88.0 | 73.9 |
| Sahara Nursing Home, Shahjahanpur | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 92.0 | 87.0 |
| Rakesh Nursing Home, Shahjahanpur | 92.9 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 81.3 | 50.0 | 60.0 | 60.9 |
| Sameed Hospital, Shahjahanpur | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 100.0 | 78.3 |
| Gurunanak Hospital, Shahjahanpur | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 88.0 | 65.2 |
| Navjoyti Chikitsalaya, Shahjahanpur | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 96.0 | 87.0 |
| Overall performance of L2, | | | _ | | | | |
| Shahjahanpur | 99.1 | 98.2 | 98.4 | 97.7 | 79.7 | 89.5 | 77.2 |




Table 13 & Figure 13 explains:

None of the criteria is fulfilled completely on an average by the clinics present in Shahjahanpur district. Only 2 segments show comparatively lower compliance in new born care and practice of infection prevention. Qualities of services provided are also lacking in the L2 clinics in the Shahjahanpur district. Rakesh nursing Home scores the lowest in new born care as well as the infection prevention practices.

6. MORADABAD

| Table 14 : Percentage score | es of QA o | lone at N | Aoradal | oad L2 | Facilities | S | |
|--|------------|-----------|---------|--------|------------|-------|------|
| Name of the Facility | A | В | C | D | E | F | G |
| Usmani Clinic, Moradabad | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 87.5 | 62.5 | 96.0 | 73.9 |
| Noor Hospital, Moradabad | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 87.5 | 76.0 | 91.3 |
| Vatsalya Hospital, Moradabad | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 88.0 | 43.5 |
| Life Care Centre/Mahanagar Hospital & Trauma Centre, Moradabad | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 82.6 |
| Ruby Hospital, Moradabad | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.0 | 78.3 |
| Zeenat Hospital, Moradabad | 92.9 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 87.5 | 62.5 | 84.0 | 65.2 |
| Chaman Hospital, Moradabad | 100.0 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 96.0 | 73.9 |
| Zamila Hospital, Moradabad | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 87.5 | 92.0 | 69.6 |
| Delhi Surgical & Maternity Centre, Moradabad | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 88.0 | 78.3 |
| MS Nursing Home, Moradabad | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 96.0 | 82.6 |
| Amrit Nursing Home, Moradabad | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 92.0 | 78.3 |
| Darul Sifa Hospital, Moradabad | 100.0 | 85.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.0 | 87.0 |
| Overall performance of L2, Moradabad | 99.4 | 97.6 | 97.4 | 96.4 | 87.5 | 91.7 | 75.4 |




Figure: 14

Table 14 & Figure 14 explains:

None of the criteria is fulfilled completely on an average by the clinics present in Moradabad district. The infection prevention and waste management is lacking in the L2 franchised clinics in the Moradabad district as it is nearly 43.5% in Zameela Hospital. Basic new born care is found low in Usmani Clinic and Zeenat Hospital

7. LUCKNOW

| Table 15: Percentage scores of QA done at Lucknow L2 Facilities | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Name of the Facility | A | В | C | D | E | F | G | |
| Indu Nursing Home, Lucknow | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 78.3 | |
| Vatsalya Hospital, Lucknow | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| Raj Poyclinic, Lucknow | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 96.0 | 73.9 | |
| Jeevan Hospital, Lucknow | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.7 | |
| Bhargawa Nursing Home, Lucknow | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 96.0 | 95.7 | |
| Khadra Hospital, Lucknow | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 84.0 | 100.0 | |
| Dr. Neerja Singh, Lucknow | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 91.3 | |
| Muzzafar Hospital, Lucknow | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| Aman Hospital, Lucknow | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 96.0 | 95.7 | |
| Goel Hospital, Lucknow | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |

| Relief Hospital, Lucknow | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 96.0 | 100.0 |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Chandrapravha Nursing Home, | | | | | | | |
| Lucknow | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mankameshwar Hospital, Lucknow | 100.0 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 93.8 | 75.0 | 96.0 | 95.7 |
| Hazarilal RV Nursing Home, Lucknow | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 87.5 | 96.0 | 87.0 |
| Overall performance of L2, | | | | | | | |
| Lucknow | 100.0 | 100.0 | 96.0 | 99.6 | 90.2 | 97.1 | 93.8 |




Figure: 15

Table 15 & Figure 15 explains:

The L2 franchised clinics in Lucknow district scored satisfactorily in almost all the criteria's except some in new born care. The facilities are well equipped and labor room availability with equipments is also present. The significant parameters are new born care and infection prevention practices that score comparatively lower than other parameters.

8. MAU

| Table 16: Percentage scores of QA done at Mau L2 Facilities | | | | | | | |
|---|------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|
| Name of the Facility A B C D E F G | | | | | | | G |
| Delhi Hospital, Mau | 92.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 62.5 | 100.0 | 69.6 |

| Harshita Clinic, Mau | 78.6 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 62.5 | 25.0 | 68.0 | 65.2 |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|
| Usmania Hospital, Mau | 92.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 84.0 | 82.6 |
| Pramod Jankalyan Hospital, | | | | | | | |
| Mau | 100.0 | 100.0 | 68.8 | 87.5 | 37.5 | 72.0 | 65.2 |
| Ali Hospital, Mau | 92.9 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 93.8 | 62.5 | 68.0 | 56.5 |
| Navjeevan Hospital, Mau | 92.9 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 68.8 | 62.5 | 88.0 | 60.9 |
| Jeevan Nursing Home, Mau | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 50.0 | 84.0 | 73.9 |
| Sajida Nursing Home, Mau | 85.7 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 93.8 | 50.0 | 92.0 | 73.9 |
| Limra Nursing Home, Mau | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.8 | 62.5 | 80.0 | 65.2 |
| Overall performance of L2, | | | | | | | |
| Mau | 92.9 | 100.0 | 92.4 | 88.2 | 56.9 | 81.8 | 68.1 |




Table 16 & Figure 16 explains:

The L2 franchised Merrysilver clinics are lacking on criteria's of availability of a separate labor room and availability of adequate equipments, severely on new born care practices, quality of clinical services provided to the patients and also very low on infection prevention and waste management. All the Merrysilver clinics were scoring full on orientation of service providers towards client's rights.

2.7 SUMMARY:

The above analysis leads to the inference that basically 5 segments of Quality parameter are suffering despite of training and orientation of the franchisees to such Quality issues. These segments are:

- 1. Infection Prevention Practices
- 2. Safe waste disposable practices
- 3. New Born Care
- 4. Quality of Clinical Services
- 5. Adequate labor room availability.

Majority of the L1 and L2 health facilities are lacking on a major quality parameter called infection prevention practices and safe waste disposal practices. There are no colored bins for specific waste types and waste disposal according to the type of waste is not practices. Cleanliness around the hospital or a clinic area is also a major concern because it promotes infection. New born care is lacking in all the facilities and all the health facilities were lacking on the basic parameters specified in the checklist. The present knowledge regarding quality care and cleanliness in the hospital staff is not adequate because most of the hospitals have acquired the services of untrained and unqualified nursing staff due to demand of less remuneration by them. Many of the L2 facilities with labor room were not able to match themselves on the basic parameters and some of them were not even having separate labor room which is an essential criterion for conducting deliveries. Adequate training specifically to infection prevention and waste disposal practices is required to lower down the rate of hospital acquired infection and to follow safe practices. In some of the L1 and L2's the quality of clinical services provided is also lacking which is adding up on the situation. There are also facilities which are claiming to have 24hrs availability of emergency Obstetrics care but doctors are not available at the time of requirement and ultimately patient has to bear the circumstance. As training of the Merrytarang members were also conducted, it came out that these members are not much influenced and motivated to do services required by them because majority of the Merrytarang members are ASHA's & AWWs who don't want to influence patients in their community for normal delivery at Merrygold franchised hospitals or clinics as they are more interested in making money as commission from the pregnant women and the franchised hospitals because they get minimal

amount of honorarium for counseling patients under Merrygold health network. As in this network deliveries are conducted at private clinics or hospitals at minimal charges, so these members try to fetch money from the hospital for referring patients to them and thus corruption enters into the system at the very beginning of the services. While training of these members it is counseled to them, but still there is less willingness and sense of ownership. As money is involved, even doctors force pregnant women to go for caesarian delivery rather than normal delivery because they get more money under CS procedure under the project. All these factors needs to be looked upon under this project to achieve the objectives of the MGHN project so that the aim of better maternal and child health with quality services at affordable prices can be achieved.

2.8 CONCLUSION:

The study reveals that though the private health care facilities are good on infrastructure but some of the essential practices like infection prevention practices and guidelines, guidelines for safe waste disposal, guidelines for a separate OT and a labour room, trained nursing staff is not followed which affects the health of the patients and on the morbidity and mortality ration on a wider scale. All these private hospitals and private clinics need to be upgraded and trained on these essential practices so as to improve the health status of Uttar Pradesh. Training of the untrained staff like nurses on safe delivery and maintaining infection prevention practices is a must which should be strictly abided. Refresher trainings of the old staff on these issues along with training of new staff can also play a vital role for behavior change. The communication officers and the L3 members motivation is lacking which affects the project objectives because these members are unable to motivate community to go for institutional deliveries at Merrygold hospitals and Merrysilver clinics. To be precise, the facilities available at Merrygold hospitals are good, but the quality of services which is more important is lacking. Majority of the focus has been on enrollment of L1 and L2 rather that maintaining quality of services and good clinical practices. One of the major loopholes in the project implementation is that the L2 members are supposed to refer complicated deliveries to L1 hospitals, but it's not happening as L2 members conduct deliveries at their own facilities without having specialized doctors and facilities. is Behavior change communication is one of the most important aspects which needs to be

sincerely focused. The purpose of quality services at affordable prices will only be fulfilled when both the components- good infrastructure and clinical practices are in synchronization with quality of services.

2.9 SUGGESTIONS/ STEPS PROPOSED:

Following suggestions are proposed based on the results in the study:-

- 1. Special sessions to be conducted on new born care and importance of neonatal resuscitation to be conveyed during the training sessions by means of role play and drills.
- 2. Participatory trainings to be encouraged where all the participants get chance to demonstrate to others whatever practical issues are discussed.
- 3. The training and re-trainings should be conducted at other places rather than in their own practicing clinics because it will increase affectivity and sincerity in the training schedule and even in the staff members because they are not able to concentrate much during working hours in the clinic or the hospitals.
- 4. As QA is conducted 4 times in a year, small re-trainings should also be conducted so that behavior change can be done.
- 5. Handouts and manuals should be provided to the doctors and staff members of infection prevention and safe waste disposal practices.
- 6. Chart and other IEC material should be pasted within the hospital or clinic premises showing safe practices, so that staff members can recollect whenever they want.
- 7. Training of the untrained and unqualified staff should be done so that they can follow adequate practices.
- 8. Discussions to be made with the participants in order to know the problems faced by them when they put these taught issues in practice.
- 9. The Merrytarang members should be those women who are willing to work other than ASHA and AWWs. Those women can be influential women of the community or women like teachers, wife of pradhan, etc. Such women can be motivated more and the results can be better.

- 10. Training manuals should be upgraded accordingly with passage of time and availability of new practices.
- 11. Feedback to be taken from the participants in the training session and the feedback to be analyzed to make further changes in the training aids.
- 12. During the QA visits a refresher training session on waste management and infection prevention to be conducted especially in the facilities that have scored very low in these segments.
- 13. Quality assessment done half-yearly can be analyzed so that hospital or clinic can be suggested for improvements in infrastructure, equipments, cleanliness, safe practices, etc
- 14. Continuous monitoring and supervision needs to be done on these hospitals and staff so that quality of services can be improved. As in majority of the hospitals and clinics in Uttar Pradesh don't have qualified and trained nursing staff, so it becomes a necessity to train and educate them in every possible way because ultimately this would affect the patient and quality of care especially maternal and child health. Regular medical audits and quality assessment should check the cleanliness and sterilization of the equipments. Trainings and follow-up trainings are required in the forms of role-play, video and audio aids, through printed aids, and live demonstrations to make training more interesting and effective.

2.10 REFERENCES

- 1. Lim, P.C. and Tang, N.K.H. (2000), "A study of inpatients' expectations and satisfaction in Singapore hospitals", International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance, Vol. 13 No. 7, pp. 290-9.
- 2. Taylor, S.A., Cronin, J.J. (1994). Modeling patient satisfaction and service quality. *J Health Care Mark* Spring, 14(1), 34-44.
- 3. Rust, R. and Zahorik, A. (1993), "Customer satisfaction, customer retention and market share", Journal of Retailing, Vol. 69 No. 1, pp. 193-215.
- 4. Berry, L.L., Bennet, D.R. and Brown, C.W. (1989), Service Quality: A Profit Strategy for Financial Institutions, Dow-Jones-Irwin, Homewood, IL.
- Cronin, J.J. Jr and Taylor, S.A. (1994) "SERVPERF versus SERVQUAL: Reconciling Performance-Based and Perceptions-Minus-Expectations Measurement of Service Quality." *Journal of Marketing* 58(1): 125-31.
- 6. Cronin, J.J., Brady, M.K. and Hult, G.T.M. (2000), "Assessing the effects of quality, value, and customer satisfaction on consumer behavioral intentions in service environment", Journal of Retailing, Vol. 76 No. 2, pp. 193-218.
- 7. Reichheld, F. and Sasser, W.E. Jr. (1990), "Zero defecting: quality comes to services", Harvard Business Review, Vol. 68, pp. 105-11.
- 8. Kang, G.-D. & James, J. (2004), "Service quality dimensions: an examination of Gro"nroos's service quality model", Managing Service Quality, Vol. 14 No. 4, pp. 266-77.
- 9. Yoon, S. and Suh, H. (2004), "Ensuring IT consulting SERVQUAL and user satisfaction: a modified measurement tool", Information Systems Frontiers, Vol. 6 No. 4, pp. 341-51.
- 10. Bitner, M.J., (1990). Evaluating service encounters: the effects of physical surroundings and employee responses. Journal of Marketing 54, 69–82.
- 11. Headley, D.E., Miller, S.J., (1993). Measuring service quality and its relationship to future consumer behavior. Journal of Health Care Marketing 13 (4), 32–41.
- 12. Zeithaml V., Parasuraman A., & Berry L.L. (1990). *Delivering Quality Service*. The Free Press, NewYork.

- 13. Zeithaml, V.A., Berry, L.L., Parasuraman, A., (1996), "The behavioral consequences of service quality", Journal of Marketing, Vol. 60, pp. 31–46.
- 14. Magi, A., Julander, C.-R., 1996. Perceived service quality and customer satisfaction in a store performance framework. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services 3 (1), 33–41.
- 15. Levesque, T., McDougall, G.H.G., (1996), Determinants of customer satisfaction in retail banking, International Journal of Bank Marketing, Vol. 14 No.7, pp.12–20.
- 16. Lim, P.C. and Tang, N.K.H. (2000), "A study of inpatients' expectations and satisfaction in Singapore hospitals", International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance, Vol. 13 No. 7, pp. 290-9.
- 17. Danaher, P.J., (1997). Using conjoint analysis to determine the relative importance of service attributes measured in customer satisfaction surveys. Journal of Retailing, 73 (2), 235–260.
- 18. Ramsaran-Fowdar, Rooma Roshnee. (2008). The Relative Importance of Service Dimensions in a Healthcare Setting. *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance* 21(1), 104-124.
- 19. Bergman, B. and Klefsjo, B. (1994), Quality: from Customer Needs to Customer Satisfaction, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, p. 16.
- 20. Evans, J.R. and Lindsay, W.M. (1996), the Management and Control of Quality, West Publishing Company, p. 15.
- 21. Lewis, R.C. and Booms, B.H. (1983), Defining and measuring the quality of customer service, Marketing Intelligence and Planning, Vol. 8, No. 6, pp. 11-17.
- 22. Lewis, B.R. and Mitchell, V.W. (1990), "Defining and measuring the quality of customer service", *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, Vol. 8, No. 6, pp. 11-17.
- 23. Parasuraman A., Zeithaml V., & Berry L. (1988). SERVQUAL: a multiple-item scale for measuring consumer perceptions of service quality. *Journal of Retailing*, 64 (1): 12–40.
- 24. Parasuraman, A., V. A. Zeithaml, and L. L.Berry (1985). "A Conceptual Model of Service Quality and Its Implications for Future Research." Journal of Marketing 49: 41-50.
- 25. Quality of health services- sample essay, website- (http://www.qaproject.org/methods/resmeasure.html).

- 26. International Institute of Population Sciences, National Family Health Survey .3, Key Findings of UP, (2005-06), Mumbai.
- 27. Measuring quality. Health and workforce improvement. From Quality Assurance Project. Retrieved December 16, 2005. Web-site: http://www.qaproject.org/methods/resmeasure.html
- 28. Arzoo Saeed, Hajra Ibrahim (2005), "Reasons for the Problems faced by Patients in Government Hospitals: results of a survey in a government hospital in Karachi, Pakistan", Journal of Pakistan Medical Association, Vol. 55, No. 45 (http://jpma.org.pk/full_article_text.php?article_id=563)
- Govindasamy, Pallavi and B.M Ramesh. (1997). Maternal Education and Utilization of Maternal and Child Health Services in India. National Family Health Survey Subject Reports, No.5.
- 30. World Health Organisation. (1996). *Regional Health Report, 1996*, WHO Regional office for South East Asia, New Delhi.
- 31. Ganatra, B. R.; Coyaji, K. J.; Rao, V. N. (1998). "Too far, too little, too late: a community-based case-control study of maternal mortality in rural west Maharashtra, India", *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, Vol. 76, No. 6, pp.591-8
- 32. Government of India. (1997). Report of the sub-committee on Reproductive Health Research Needs Assessment (RHRNA); National Committee on Research in Human Reproduction, India Ministry of Health and Family Welfare New Delhi.
- 33. Jejeebhoy, Shireen J. (2000). .Safe Motherhood in India: Priorities for Social science Research., in *Women.s Reproductive Health in India*, edited by Radhika Ramasubban, Shireen J. Jejeebhoy; Rawat Publications, New Delhi.
- 34. Jejeebhoy, Shireen J., Rama Rao, Saumya. (1995). .Unsafe Motherhood: A Review of Reproductive Health,. in *Women.s Health in India, Risk and Vulnerability*; edited by Monica das Gupta, Lincoln C. Chen, T.N. Krishnan; Oxford University Press, New Delhi.
- 35. Sood, A.K. and B.N. Nagla. (1996). Factors Affecting the Adoption of Simple Maternal and Child Health Interventions by Women., *The Indian Journal of Social Work*, Vol. 57, No. 4, pp605-13.

| 36. E Hurtado, M Insua & LM Franco, 2011. Maternal, newborn and child heal http://www.hciproject.org/taxonomy/term/160 . | th. Website- |
|--|--------------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | 69 |

APPENDIX I

MERRY GOLD HEALTH NETWORK

AGENDA - TRAINING PROGRAM FOR L1 FRANCHISEE HOSPITALS

Objectives of the training program: At the end of the training, the participants will be able to:

- 1. Work as a team to provide high quality care to women, newborns and children
- 2. All staff members to understand their job description and discuss few administrative procedures related to medico-legal issues.
- 3. Obstetricians will discuss, the different procedures and protocols for providing effective obstetric care
- 4. All staff will discuss and demonstrate the steps for infection control and waste management
- 5. All the Nurses will be able to discuss and demonstrate procedures and nursing protocols laid down for effective client care and management.
- 6. Family planning-all the methods of FP will be discussed in detail and practical demonstration of No touch technique of IUCD loading and insertion will be imparted to doctors and paramedical staff

Day 1

Observations and preparation for the training program

- 1. Observe the hospital building and infrastructure available with L1 hospital. Observe ward condition; reception and administrative area, infection control and cleanliness, waste disposal around the area and different activities and procedures.(09:00am-9:30am)
- 2. Organize training venue and preparation for next 3 days

| Time | Session (For all staff) | | | | |
|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1100hrs-1130hrs | Welcome, Registration and introduction, pretest, participants' | | | | |
| (along with the | expectations and objectives of the training program | | | | |
| welcome tea) | | | | | |
| 1130- 1215 | Team building exercises. Recognizing one's strength and contribution | | | | |
| | to saving lives, Feelings chart. | | | | |
| | Appearance, general behaviour and client relationship management, | | | | |
| | customer care. | | | | |
| 1215-1330 hrs | Operations manual and Legal issues | | | | |
| 1330-1400 | Lunch | | | | |
| 1400-1515 | ANC – Steps and procedures | | | | |
| | (demonstration and discussion)(Module 1) | | | | |
| 1515-1530 | Tea | | | | |
| 1530-1615 | Normal Labour and Partograph | | | | |
| | Fetal distress | | | | |
| | Prolonged and obstructed labour | | | | |

| | Third stage of labour PPH Anemia in pregnancy Hyperemesis gravidarum Bleeding in early pregnancy |
|-----------|--|
| | When to refer |
| 1615-1700 | Hypertensive disorders |
| | Preterm Labour |
| | • APH |
| | • PPROM |
| | Breech Presentation |
| | • CS/VBAC |
| 1700-1800 | |
| | Post partum care |
| | Puerperal Sepsis |
| | Cord Prolapse |
| | |

Day 2

| Time | Sessions |
|----------|--|
| 0930 - | Rapid initial assessment and management of shock |
| 1115 | |
| 1115- | Tea and recap of previous day sessions |
| 1130 | |
| 1130 - | Family Planning-An Overview of FP programme in India |
| 1145 | |
| 1115- | Overview of FP methods |
| 1330 | |
| 1330- | Lunch |
| 1400 | |
| 1400- | Introduction to Anatomical Model and copper bearing IUCD with No Touch |
| 1800 | Loading |
| | Cu-T 380 A insertion and removal & Practice on Zoe model |
| (tea in | Client assessment for IUD |
| between) | Medical Eligibility Criteria-for IUD |
| | Follow up care and potential problems with IUD |
| | |

Day 3

| Time | Session |
|---------------|------------------|
| 0930- 1230 | Practice session |

| 1230- 1315 | Vital signs measurement Bed making Mouth care Hair care Sponge bath Back care. P/V cleaning Dressing Assisting in Breast feeding Movement of client. Basic NeoNatal care |
|---------------|--|
| 1400- | Offering Bed pan |
| 1515 | • Injections |
| | Oral Medication |
| | Article Management |
| | Counseling of clients |
| | Record keeping |
| | Posture maintenance |
| 1520 | Maintaining Integrity |
| 1530 - | |
| 1700 | Contd |
| 1700- | Post training questionnaire and conclusion of the session |
| 1800 | |

Morning Tea, Lunch and Evening Tea will be served

APPENDIX II

MERRY GOLD HEALTH NETWORK

AGENDA – INDUCTION TRAINING PROGRAM FOR L2 FRANCHISEE HOSPITALS Objectives of the training program

- 1. Doctors will discuss, the different procedures and protocols for providing effective obstetric care
- 2. Discuss and demonstrate the steps for infection control and waste management
- **3.** All the Nurses will be able to discuss and demonstrate procedures and nursing protocols laid down for effective client care and management

| Time | Session | Facilitator/s |
|-------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| 15 minutes | Welcome, Registration and | Training team |
| | introduction, participants' expectations | |
| | and objectives of the training program | |
| 15 minutes | Appearance, general behaviour and | Training team |
| | client relationship management, | |
| | customer care. | |
| 1 hour 30 minutes | Purpose and Importance of | |
| | infection control and waste | demonstrate the procedures. |
| | management (10 min) | |
| | Surgical hand scrubbing and | |
| | wearing gloves (20 minutes) | |
| | • Antiseptics and Disinfectants (15 | |
| | minutes) | |
| | Processing instruments and other | |
| | items (30 minutes) | |
| | Waste management (15 minutes) | |
| 1 hour | ANC and Family Planning | Training team |
| | Dos and Don'ts in pregnancy | |
| | Danger signs in pregnancy | |
| | • Birth preparedness and | |
| | complication readiness | |
| | Care in post-natal period | |
| | Family Planning | |